SOCIAL AND SOLIDARITY ECONOMY INITIATIVES IN GERMANY AND HUNGARY

Reproducing, opposing or resisting peripheralization?



WP3/ESR11 Melinda Mihály – mihaly@socialimpact.eu Institute of Geography, University of Leipzig, Germany



Conceptual framework



Case studies

- Semi-structured interviews
- Participant observation
- Analysis of publically available data

In the context of peripheralization and post-socialism, how can SSE initiatives contribute to local development?

First empirical results (Hun.)

- 1. Organic village farm (major): public work → reproduction [personal], new investor → opposition [macroeconomic], all responsibility major → reproduction [political]
- 2. Integrative development (NGO): a high emphasis on community development \rightarrow opposition [political], donations (helper-helped roles) \rightarrow reproduction [personal], kaláka \rightarrow resistance [societal]
- 3. Community apiculture (ministers): integrative local school \rightarrow opposition \rightarrow new settlers \rightarrow opposition, strong formal traditions \rightarrow reproduction (?)

Case studies	Organic village farm	Complex integration	Community apiculture
Market (sales to)	restaurants, conscious customers (HU)	conscious customers (HU)	congregations (CH, PL, trust- based pricing), locally
Redistri- bution	Public work (80%), EU Grants	Norway, EU Grants, Intl. Found. (Ashoka, NESsT)	Public work, Intl. Found. (HEKS)
Reciprocity	Extra working hours put in finding EU Grants	Local volunteers, national volunteers	Local volunteers, international volunteers